

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/700,602	01/19/2001	Teruo Okano	PK9857	8090
22840	7590 10/03/2002			
AMERSHAM BIOSCIENCES PATENT DEPARTMENT 800 CENTENNIAL AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			THERKORN,	ERNEST G
PISCATAWA	AY, NJ 08855		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/700,602 OKAWA-				
,	THERKORN 1723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply	.2				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a).	n no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.				
<ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause</li> </ul>	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
<ul> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
Ctatue					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	us 21, 2002				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action	ction is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-14	is/are pending in the application.  is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s) 12-14	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)					
6) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)					
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) $\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) $\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	exicative upday 25 LLS C & 119(a) (d) or (f)				
13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>					
<ul> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ul>					
application from the International Bu *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15)☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:				
O, 1831 TOTTING TO DISCUSSION OF CONTROLLING IN 10-1440   1 apol 110/3/.					



Application/Control Number: 09/700,602

Art Unit: 1723

Claims 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. "Etc." is considered to render the claims indefinite.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(B) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Japan Patent No. 7-318,551 (including the translation supplied by the examiner in the office action of June 24, 2001). The claims are considered to read on Japan Patent No. 7-318,551. However, if a difference exists between the claims and Japan Patent No. 7-318,551, it would reside in optimizing the steps of Japan Patent No. 7-318,551. It would have been obvious to optimize the steps of Japan Patent No. 7-318,551 to enhance separation.

The restriction and election of species requirements have been reconsidered, deemed

Application/Control Number: 09/700,602

Art Unit: 1723

proper, and made final for the reasons of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to E. Therkorn at telephone number (703) 308-0362.

Ernest G. Therkorn Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723 Page 3

EGT/12 September 12, 2002